

Number Theory

Sarthak Sahoo and Gordon Ye

Welcome



- All workshops shall be 2 hours long.
- The notes of the contents in the workshops shall be provided on the CPMSoc website.
- Each workshop will have an accompanying problem set, which can be found in the notes.
- There will be workshops on odd numbered weeks from week 5 on wards (That's this week).
- Hope you enjoy yourselves and feel free to ask questions during the workshops ☺.

Competitive Mathematics



- Well known competitions such as Putnam, IMC, SMMC.
- 6 hours contest, 3 hours per half.
- Having first year uni knowledge is a prerequisite.
- Challenging problems that are slightly different from typical uni exams.
- Have fun solving!

Table of contents

1 Welcome

- Workshop
- Competitive Mathematics

2 Revision

3 Euclid's Gem

Theorem

4 Fermat's Little Theorem and Generalizations

- Fermat's Little Theorem
- Euler's Totient Theorem

5 Example Problems



Notation



- $\blacksquare \mathbb{N} = \left\{1, 2, 3\cdots\right\}$
- **2** $\mathbb{P} = \{ \text{set of all primes} \}$
- $\textbf{3} \ \mathbb{P}_n = \left\{ p \in \mathbb{P} : p | n, \ n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$





Example



Example

Let p be a prime number. Prove that there are infinitely many multiples of p whose last ten digits are all distinct.

The answer for p = 2 and p = 5 becomes obvious after some thought.

Revision

Example

- The answer for p = 2 and p = 5 becomes obvious after some thought.
- Consider $p, 2p, 3p, \dots 99999999p$. We will prove that those numbers produces $10^{10} 1$ different terminating sequences.

Revision

V CPMSOC

Example

- **The answer for** p = 2 and p = 5 becomes obvious after some thought.
- Consider p, 2p, 3p, ...999999999p. We will prove that those numbers produces $10^{10} 1$ different terminating sequences.
- We will prove the above by proving any two numbers within the sequence have a difference that is not divisible by 10^{10} . Let's arbitrarily pick mp and np, where $1 \le m, n \le 9999999999$.

Revision

V CPMSOC

Example

- **The answer for** p = 2 and p = 5 becomes obvious after some thought.
- Consider $p, 2p, 3p, \dots 99999999p$. We will prove that those numbers produces $10^{10} 1$ different terminating sequences.
- We will prove the above by proving any two numbers within the sequence have a difference that is not divisible by 10^{10} . Let's arbitrarily pick mp and np, where $1 \le m, n \le 9999999999$.
- Since p is coprime to 10^{10} and m n does not divide 10^{10} , p(m n) is not divisible by 10^{10} .

Euclid's Gem



There are infinitely many primes! A fact that seems intuitively obvious, yet we shall present a proof (or rather we shall present Euclid's proof). Before proceeding to the proof we present a lemma.

Lemma (Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic)

Every positive integer n > 1 can be written as the product of primes uniquely up to ordering.

Euclid's Gem





Lemma (Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic)

Every positive integer n > 1 can be written as the product of primes uniquely up to ordering.

Theorem (Infinitude of Primes)

There are infinitely many primes!

Euclid's Gem

Proof.

We proceed by assuming that there are finitely many primes

$$\mathbb{P} = \{p_1, p_2, \cdots, p_n\},\$$

we do not bother ourselves with the ordering of the elements in the set of primes denoted by \mathbb{P} .

Consider the following:

$$n = p_1 \cdots p_n + 1,$$

the above leaves a remainder of 1 when divided by each of the primes in the set \mathbb{P} , i.e., its not divisible by any prime in \mathbb{P} . However by the **FTA** *n* must be divisible by a prime $p_{n+1} \notin \mathbb{P}$, which is a contradiction since we assumed the set of all primes is finite. Hence \mathbb{P} must be infinite.

Fermat's Little Theorem





Fermat's little theorem can be really use full in considering $a^k \pmod{n}$, and is a fundamental theorem in elementary number theory.

The theorem tells us how to treat powers of an integer modulo a natural number. And is essential for building up understanding of divisibility between different forms of numbers. Before proceeding to proving Fermat's Little Theorem, we prove a little lemma,

Lemma		
If p is a prime then,		
	$(a+b)^p \equiv a^p + b^p$	$(\mod p),$
where $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$.		

Sarthak Sahoo and Gordon Ye

Fermat's Little Theorem

Proof.

$$(a+b)^{p} = \sum_{k=0}^{p} {p \choose k} a^{k} b^{p-k} = a^{p} + b^{p} + pM, \ M \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Number Theory





Sarthak Sahoo and Gordon Ye

Fermat's Little Theorem

Proof.

$$(a+b)^p = \sum_{k=0}^p {p \choose k} a^k b^{p-k} = a^p + b^p + pM, \ M \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Corollary

Corollary: For $p \in \mathbb{P}$ (Induction),

$$\left(\sum_{1 \le i \le n} a_i\right)^p \equiv \sum_{1 \le i \le n} a_i^p \pmod{p},$$

where $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}, \forall i$.



Fermat's Little Theorem



Theorem (Fermat's Little Theorem)

For $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $p \in \mathbb{P}$ such that gcd(a, p) = 1 we have,

$$a^p \equiv a \pmod{p} \iff a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}.$$

Fermat's Little Theorem



Theorem (Fermat's Little Theorem)

For $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $p \in \mathbb{P}$ such that gcd(a, p) = 1 we have,

$$a^p \equiv a \pmod{p} \iff a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}.$$

Proof.

Note that for
$$gcd(a, p) = 1, a, p \in \mathbb{N}$$
,

$$a^{p} \equiv (\overbrace{1+1+\dots+1}^{a \text{ times}})^{p} \equiv (\overbrace{1+1+\dots+1}^{a \text{ times}}) \equiv a \pmod{p}$$

Euler's Totient Function





One generalization of Fermat's Little Theorem is what's known as Euler's Totient Theorem. Euler's Totient Theorem is naturally motivated through a specific counting problem. The Euler's $\varphi(n)$ counts the number of integers k such that $gcd(k,n) = 1, k \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$.

The precise formulation is; for $n \in \mathbb{N} - \{1\}$

$$\varphi(n) = \left| \left\{ a \in \mathbb{Z}_n : \gcd(a, n) = 1 \right\} \right|,$$

we can define or check through the definition that $\varphi(1) = 1$.

Euler's Totient Function





One generalization of Fermat's Little Theorem is what's known as Euler's Totient Theorem. Euler's Totient Theorem is naturally motivated through a specific counting problem. The Euler's $\varphi(n)$ counts the number of integers k such that $gcd(k,n) = 1, k \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$.

The precise formulation is; for $n \in \mathbb{N} - \{1\}$

$$\varphi(n) = \left| \left\{ a \in \mathbb{Z}_n : \gcd(a, n) = 1 \right\} \right|,$$

we can define or check through the definition that $\varphi(1) = 1$.

We present two important lemmas, that are not only important on their own but also are precursors of a method to proving an explicit formulation of Euler's Totient function.

Euler's Totient Function

CPMSOC

Lemma

For $p \in \mathbb{P}$ and $a \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\varphi(p^a) = p^a - p^{a-1}.$$

For $p \in \mathbb{P}$ and $a \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof.

We simply use the inclusion-exclusion principle to arrive at,

$$\varphi(p^a) = p^a - \left| \left\{ b : 1 \le b \le p^a, p | b \right\} \right| = p^a - \frac{p^a}{p}$$

 $\varphi(p^a) = p^a - p^{a-1}.$



Euler's Totient Function

Lemma



Lemma

If $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\gcd(m, n) = 1$, then

 $\varphi(mn) = \varphi(m)\varphi(n).$

This makes φ multiplicative.

Proof.

Consider the following matrix:

$$\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \cdots & m \\ m+1 & m+2 & \cdots & 2m \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ m(n-1)+1 & m(n-1)+1 & \cdots & mn \end{pmatrix},$$

there are $\varphi(mn)$ numbers in the matrix above that are relatively prime to mn.

However, note that there are also $\varphi(m)$ columns containing containing those elements in the table that are relatively prime to m. Then we take note that there are $\varphi(n)$ elements in each $\varphi(m)$ columns that are relatively prime to n, therefore there are $\varphi(m)\varphi(n)$ elements that are co-prime to mn.

$$\therefore \varphi(mn) = \varphi(m)\varphi(n).$$

Sarthak Sahoo and Gordon Ye

Euler's Theorem

Theorem

Let $\varphi : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ then,

$\varphi(n) = n \prod_{p \in \mathbb{P}_n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p} \right).$

Proof.

This is a corollary of the two Lemmas presented above.



14/15

Sarthak Sahoo and Gordon Ye

Number Theory

16.3.2022 14 / 15

Euler's Theorem

Theorem

Let $\varphi : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ then,

$\varphi(n) = n \prod_{p \in \mathbb{P}_n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right).$

Proof.

This is a corollary of the two Lemmas presented above.

Theorem (Euler's Theorem)

If
$$n \in \mathbb{N}$$
, $gcd(a, n) = 1$ and $\varphi : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$,

 $a^{\varphi(n)} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}.$



Proof.

Consider the set of units modulo n

$$R = \left\{ x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{\varphi(n)} \right\},\$$

where $1 \le x_i \le n-1$, $gcd(x_i, n) = 1$ and all the x_i are distinct. We consider the left coset,

$$aR = \{ax_1, \cdots, ax_{\varphi(n)}\}.$$

Since multiplying by a is a bijection we have that aR = R, therefore we have that

$$\prod_{i=1}^{\varphi(n)} x_i \equiv \prod_{i=1}^{\varphi(n)} (ax_i) \pmod{n}, \iff a^{\varphi(n)} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$$

Example Problems



1 if $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$, show that $a^n \equiv b^n \pmod{n^2}$.

2 Let a and b be positive integers. Prove that

$$gcd(n^{a} - 1, n^{b} - 1) = n^{gcd(a,b)} - 1.$$

3 Let n be an integer greater than 2. Prove that among the fractions

$$\frac{1}{n}, \frac{2}{n}, \cdots, \frac{n-1}{n},$$

an even number are irreducible.

4 Determine the respective last digit (unit digit) of the numbers

$$3^{1001}7^{1002}13^{1003}, \underbrace{7^{7^{\cdot,^7}}}_{1001\ 7's}.$$